

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/441,966	11/17/1999	RODERICK L. HALL	98.736-A	5234	
28213	7590 12/10/20	04	EXAM	EXAMINER	
GRAY CARY WARE & FREIDENRICH LLP 4365 EXECUTIVE DRIVE			STEADMAN	STEADMAN, DAVID J	
SUITE 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN DIEGO	, CA 92121-2133		1652	1652	

DATE MAILED: 12/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/441,966	HALL ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David J Steadman	1652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application application application applications are supplied to the control of the contro	ation. A proper reply high applica	y to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding among the corresponding	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate of the fee.	on. See MPEP opriate extension				
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's	ce later than three months after the mai FR 1.704(b).	ling date of the final rejec	Office action; or ction, even if				
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	f the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) M they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: see attachment.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would l canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see	reconsideration has been consi attachment.	dered but does NOT	place the				
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered beca raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered a w or appended.	nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	4	``					
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10,15-17 and 19</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 18,20 and 2	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>18,20 and 21</u> .						
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	0				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement		•					
0.[] Other:	(),	•					
			•				
•			İ				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 09/441,966 Page 2

Art Unit: 1652

ADVISORY ACTION

[1] Receipt of a sequence listing in computer readable form (CRF), a paper copy thereof, a statement of their sameness, and a statement that no new matter has been added to the specification by the paper copy of the sequence CRF, all filed November 18, 2004, is acknowledged.

- [2] It is noted that the amendment filed November 18, 2004 requests cancellation of claims 18, 20, and 21 (p. 3, top). However, in the listing of the claims, the status of claims 18, 20, and 21 is identified as "Withdrawn." It is suggested that applicants clarify this discrepancy.
- The request for reconsideration in the amendment filed November 18, 2004 has been considered, however the amendment does not place the application in condition for allowance. The amendment has not been entered as the claims as amended present new issues requiring a new search and further consideration. See MPEP § 714.13 regarding non-entry of an after final amendment.
- [4] Claims 7 and (particularly) 22 present new limitations that have yet to be considered requiring a new search and further consideration.
- [5] Even if the amendment was entered, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) would be maintained for the reasons of record.
- [6] It is noted that the amendment to the specification fails to overcome the objection for the use of trademarks. As stated in previous Office actions, trademarks used in the specification should be capitalized.

Application/Control Number: 09/441,966

Art Unit: 1652

[7] Applicant's arguments have been fully considered. However, in view of the nonentry of the amendment filed November 18, 2004, applicants' arguments are not found persuasive to overcome the rejections as set forth in the Office action mailed July 14, 2004 for the reasons of record stated therein.

[8] In view of the non-entry of the amendment, the claim status is as follows:

- Claims 1-10 and 15-21 are pending.
- Claims 18, 20, and 21 are withdrawn from further consideration.
- Claims 1-10, 15-17, and 19 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Steadman, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0942. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays from 7:30 am to 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached at (571) 272-0928. The FAX number for submission of official papers to Group 1600 is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Art Unit receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

David J. Steadman, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1652